FILED
U. S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT ARKANSAS

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

FEB **06** 2019

JAMES W. McCORMACK, CLERK By: DEP CLERK

WILLIE HUDSON, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

No. 4:19-cv-<u>94</u> - JM

ELITE STAFFING GLOBAL, INC.

VS.

DEFENDANT

PLAINTIFFS

This case assigned to District Judge Mooly and to Magistrate Judge Ray

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT—CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION

COMES NOW Plaintiff Willie Hudson ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys Daniel Ford, Chris Burks and Josh Sanford of Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, for his Original Complaint—Collective Action against Defendant Elite Staffing Global, Inc. ("Defendant"), he does hereby state and allege as follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS

- 1. This is a class and collective action brought by Plaintiff Willie Hudson, individually and on behalf of all other hourly-paid employees employed by Defendant at the manufacturing facility operated by Welspun Pipes, Inc., Welspun Tubular LLC, and/or Welspun USA, Inc. (hereinafter referred to jointly as "Welspun") in Little Rock at any time within a three-year period preceding the filing of this Complaint.
- 2. Plaintiff brings this action under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. ("FLSA") and the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq. ("AMWA"), for declaratory judgment, monetary damages, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, and costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, as a result of Page 1 of 17

Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees lawful overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

3. Upon information and belief, for at least three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint, Defendant has willfully and intentionally committed violations of the FLSA and AMWA as described, *infra*.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas has subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this suit raises federal questions under the FLSA.
- Plaintiff's claims under the AMWA form part of the same case or controversy and arise out of the same facts as the FLSA claims alleged in this Complaint.
- 6. Therefore, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's AMWA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 7. The acts complained of herein were committed and had their principal effect within the Western Division of the Eastern District of Arkansas; therefore, venue is proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.
- 8. Defendant does business in this District and a substantial part of the events alleged herein occurred in this District.
- The witnesses to overtime wage violations alleged in this Complaint reside in this District.
- 10. On information and belief, the payroll records and other documents related to the payroll practices that Plaintiff challenges are located in this District.

III. THE PARTIES

- 11. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.
 - 12. Plaintiff is a resident and citizen of Pulaski County.
- 13. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as an hourly-paid employee at Welspun's manufacturing facility from approximately September of 2018 until January of 2019.
- 14. At all material times, Plaintiff has been entitled to the rights, protection and benefits provided under the FLSA and AMWA.
- 15. Defendant Elite Staffing Global, Inc. ("Elite Staffing"), is a foreign corporation, registered and licensed to do business in the State of Arkansas.
- 16. Defendant Elite Staffing's registered agent for service of process in Arkansas is Cogency Global Inc., 1215 Twin Lakes Drive, Little Rock, Arkansas 72205.
- 17. Defendant is an "employer" within the meanings set forth in the FLSA and AMWA, and was, at all times relevant to the allegations in this Complaint, Plaintiff's employer, as well as the employer of the members of the class and collective.
- 18. Defendant is a national full-service staffing company providing temporary workers, temporary-to-permanent placement workers, direct-hire services and on-site managed programs to fulfill its customers' staffing and workforce needs.
- 19. Defendant operates nationwide, including in Arkansas, and has one corporate headquarters that centralizes all pay, time and human resource policies so that they are the same across its operations.

20. During the time period relevant to this case, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant at Welspun's manufacturing facility in Little Rock.

21. Defendant has employees engaged in commerce and has employees handling or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by others, such as raw material used to manufacture pipes,

machinery and tools.

22. Defendant's annual gross volume of sales made or business done is not less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately stated) for each year relevant to this lawsuit.

23. Welspun Pipes, Inc., Welspun Tubular LLC and/or Welspun USA, Inc., jointly operate the manufacturing facility at which Plaintiff and other potential collective were employed by Defendant.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

24. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

25. During part of the three (3) years prior to the filing of this lawsuit, Plaintiff worked for Defendant as an hourly-paid employee in Welspun's Little Rock manufacturing facility.

26. Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees employed by Defendant at Welspun's facility regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week throughout their tenure with Defendant.

27. Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees were classified as hourly employees and paid an hourly rate.

28. Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees recorded their hours worked via

an electronic time clock, which logged their hours into a payroll system maintained by

Welspun.

29. Each shift, however, Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees employed

by Defendant at Welspun's facility were required to work up to approximately thirty (30)

minutes prior to clocking in and up to approximately thirty (30) minutes after clocking

out.

30. As a result, each shift that Plaintiff was employed by Defendant at

Welspun, he worked approximately an hour of uncompensated work not recorded by

Defendant or Welspun's payroll system.

31. Defendant paid Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees one-and-one-

half (1.5) times their base hourly rate for each hour they worked over forty (40) in a

workweek.

32. Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees, however, were not paid for the

above-described off-the-clock work, which should have been paid at an overtime

premium rate.

33. The pay practices that violate the FLSA and AMWA alleged herein was a

centralized human resources policy implemented uniformly by Defendant.

34. Defendant knew, or showed reckless disregard for whether, the way it paid

Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees violated the FLSA and AMWA.

35. Defendant's hourly-paid employees at Welspun were classic manual

laborers, working with machinery and equipment to produce Welspun's products in a

factory setting.

Page 5 of 17
Willie Hudson, et al. v. Elite Staffing Global, Inc. d/b/a Elite Staffing U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:19-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

V. REPRESENTATIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

A. FLSA § 216(b) Class

36. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this

Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

37. Plaintiff brings this claim for relief for violation of the FLSA as a collective

action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

38. Plaintiff brings his FLSA claims on behalf of all hourly-paid employees

employed by Defendant at Welspun's Little Rock manufacturing facility at any time

within the applicable statute of limitations period, who were classified by Defendant as

non-exempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA and who are entitled to

payment of the following types of damages:

A. Payment for all hours worked, including payment of a lawful overtime

premium for all hours worked for Defendant in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek;

and

B. Liquidated damages; and

C. Attorneys' fees and costs.

39. The relevant time period dates back three years from the date on which

Plaintiff's Original Complaint—Collective Action was filed and continues forward through

the date of judgment pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

40. The members of the proposed FLSA Collective are similarly situated in

that they share these traits:

A. They were classified by Defendant as non-exempt from the overtime

requirements of the FLSA;

Page 6 of 17
Willie Hudson, et al. v. Elite Staffing Global, Inc. d/b/a Elite Staffing U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:19-cv-___
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

- B. They were paid hourly rates;
- C. They recorded their time in the same manner;
- E. They were subject to Defendant's common policy of requiring employees to work uncompensated hours off-the-clock; and
- D. They were subject to Defendant's common policy of improperly calculating overtime pay for hours worked over forty (40) per work week.
- 41. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the potential members of the FLSA Collective but believe that the group exceeds 50 persons.
- 42. Defendant can readily identify the members of the Section 16(b) Collective. The names, physical addresses, electronic mailing addresses and phone numbers of the FLSA collective action plaintiffs are available from Defendant, and a Court-approved Notice should be provided to the FLSA collective action plaintiffs via first class mail, email and text message to their last known physical and electronic mailing addresses and cell phone numbers as soon as possible, together with other documents and information descriptive of Plaintiff's FLSA claim.

B. AMWA Rule 23 Class

- 43. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated who were employed by Defendant within the State of Arkansas, bring this claim for relief for violation of the AMWA as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 44. Plaintiff proposes to represent the class of hourly-paid employees who are/were employed by Defendants within the relevant time period within the State of Arkansas at Welspun's Little Rock manufacturing facility.

Page 7 of 17
Willie Hudson, et al. v. Elite Staffing Global, Inc. d/b/a Elite Staffing U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:19-cv-___
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

45. Common questions of law and fact relate to all members of the proposed

class, such as whether as a result Defendant's failure to track and compensate

employees for all time worked, Defendant paid members of the proposed class a lawful

overtime wage in accordance with the AMWA.

46. Common guestions of law and fact predominate over any questions

affecting only the individually-named Plaintiff, and a class action is superior to other

available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating the claims of the members of the

proposed AMWA class.

47. The class members have no interest in individually controlling the

prosecution of separate actions because the policy of the AMWA provides a bright-line

rule for protecting all non-exempt employees as a class. To wit: "It is declared to be the

public policy of the State of Arkansas to establish minimum wages for workers in order

to safeguard their health, efficiency, and general well-being and to protect them as well

as their employers from the effects of serious and unfair competition resulting from

wage levels detrimental to their health, efficiency, and well-being." Ark. Code Ann. § 11-

4-202.

48. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the potential members of

the AMWA class but believe that the class exceeds 50 persons. Therefore, the class is

so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

49. At the time of the filing of this Complaint, neither Plaintiff nor Plaintiff's

counsel knows of any litigation already begun by any members of the proposed class

concerning the allegations in this Complaint.

Page 8 of 17
Willie Hudson, et al. v. Elite Staffing Global, Inc. d/b/a Elite Staffing U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:19-cv-____
Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

50. Concentrating the litigation in this forum is highly desirable because Defendant's employees at Welspun's Little Rock facility are based in the Eastern District of Arkansas and because Plaintiff and all proposed class members work or worked in Arkansas.

- 51. No difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.
- 52. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the proposed class in that Plaintiff worked as an hourly-paid employee for Defendant at Welspun's Little Rock manufacturing facility and experienced the same violations of the AMWA that all other class members suffered.
- 53. Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class.
- 54. Plaintiff's counsel are competent to litigate Rule 23 class actions and other complex litigation matters, including wage and hour cases like this one, and to the extent, if any, that they find that they are not, they are able and willing to associate additional counsel.
- 55. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the proposed class would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members of the proposed class that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant.

VI. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Individual Claim for Violation of FLSA)

56. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

- 57. 29 U.S.C. §§ 206 and 207 require any enterprise engaged in commerce to pay all employees a minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty (40) in one week and to pay time and a half of regular wages for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a week, unless an employee meets certain exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 213 and all accompanying Department of Labor regulations.
- 58. During the period relevant to this lawsuit, Defendant classified Plaintiff as non-exempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA.
- 59. Despite the entitlement of Plaintiff to minimum wage and overtime payments under the FLSA, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff an overtime rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked over forty (40) in each one-week period.
- 60. Defendant's failure to properly pay overtime wages to Plaintiff stems from Defendant's requiring Plaintiff to work uncompensated time off-the-clock before and after each shift.
- 61. Defendant's conduct and practice, as described above, has been and is willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.
- 62. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for, and Plaintiff seeks, unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, and costs, including reasonable attorney's fees as provided by the FLSA.
- 63. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Collective Action Claim for Violation of FLSA)

Page 10 of 17

Willie Hudson, et al. v. Elite Staffing Global, Inc. d/b/a Elite Staffing U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 4:19-cv-____

Original Complaint—Class and Collective Action

64. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

65. Plaintiff brings this collective action on behalf of all hourly-paid employees employed by Defendant at Welspun's Little Rock manufacturing facility to recover monetary damages owed by Defendant to Plaintiff and members of the putative collective for all the overtime compensation for all the hours he and they worked in excess of forty (40) each week.

66. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself individually and all other similarly situated employees, former and present, who were and/or are affected by Defendant's willful and intentional violation of the FLSA.

- 67. During the period relevant to this lawsuit, Defendant classified Plaintiff and all similarly situated members of the FLSA collective as non-exempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA.
- 68. Despite the entitlement of Plaintiff and those similarly situated to minimum wage and overtime payments under the FLSA, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and all those similarly situated an overtime rate of one and one-half times their regular rates of pay for all hours worked over forty (40) in each one-week period.
- 69. In the past three years, Defendant has employed numerous individuals at Welspun's Little Rock manufacturing facility.
- 70. Like Plaintiff, these hourly-paid employees regularly worked more than forty (40) hours in a week.
 - 71. Defendant failed to pay these workers at the proper overtime rate.

72. Because these employees are similarly situated to Plaintiff, and are owed overtime for the same reasons, the opt-in class may be properly defined as:

Each hourly-paid employee who, within the past three years, worked at Welspun's Little Rock facility.

- 73. Defendant's conduct and practice, as described above, has been and is willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.
- 74. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and all those similarly situated for, and Plaintiff and all those similarly situated seek, unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, and costs, including reasonable attorney's fees as provided by the FLSA.
- 75. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in failing to pay Plaintiff and all those similarly situated as provided by the FLSA, Plaintiff and all those similarly situated are entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

VIII. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Individual Claim for Violation of the AMWA)

- 76. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Original Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.
- 77. Plaintiffs assert this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to the AMWA, Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-201, *et seq.*
- 78. At all relevant times, Defendant was Plaintiff's "employer" within the meaning of the AMWA, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-203(4).
- 79. Arkansas Code Annotated § 11-4-211 requires employers to pay all employees one and one-half times regular wages for all hours worked over forty (40)

hours in a week, unless an employee meets the exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 213 and accompanying Department of Labor regulations.

- 80. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff all overtime wages owed, as required under the AMWA.
- 81. Defendant's failure to properly pay overtime wages to Plaintiffs stems from Defendant's requiring Plaintiff to perform off-the-clock, uncompensated work before and after each shift.
- 82. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, were willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.
- 83. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for monetary damages, liquidated damages, costs, and a reasonable attorney's fee provided by the AMWA for all violations which occurred beginning at least three (3) years preceding the filing of Plaintiff's initial complaint, plus periods of equitable tolling.
- 84. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the AMWA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

IX. FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Class Action Claim for Violation of the AMWA)

- 85. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.
- 86. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated who were employed by Defendants within the State of Arkansas at Welspun's Little Rock

manufacturing facility, asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to the AMWA, Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-201 *et seq*.

87. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, "employer" of Plaintiff and the members of the proposed class within the meaning of the AMWA, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-203(4).

88. Arkansas Code Annotated § 11-4-211 requires employers to pay all employees one and one-half times their regular wages for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a week, unless an employee meets the exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 213 and accompanying Department of Labor regulations.

- 89. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and members of the proposed class all overtime wages owed, as required under the AMWA.
- 90. Plaintiff proposes to represent a class of individuals who are owed overtime wages and other damages for the same reasons as Plaintiff, which may be defined as follows:

Each hourly-paid Arkansas employee who, within the past three years, worked at Welspun's Little Rock facility.

- 91. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, were willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.
- 92. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the proposed class for monetary damages, liquidated damages, costs, and a reasonable attorney's fee provided by the AMWA for all violations which occurred within the three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint, plus periods of equitable tolling.

93. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in failing to pay Plaintiff and members of the proposed class as provided by the AMWA, Plaintiff and members of the proposed class are entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

X. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff Willie Hudson respectfully prays that Defendant be summoned to appear and to answer herein as follows:

- (A) That Defendant be required to account to Plaintiff, the collective members, and the Court for all of the hours worked by Plaintiff and collective members and all monies paid to them;
- (B) A declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices violate the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. § 516 et seq.;
- (C) A declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices violate the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq. and the related regulations;
- (D) Certification of, and proper notice to, together with an opportunity to participate in the litigation, all qualifying current and former employees;
- (E) Judgment for damages for all unpaid overtime compensation under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. § 516 et seq.;
- (F) Judgment for damages for all unpaid overtime compensation under the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, *et seq.* and the related regulations;

- (G) Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 US.C. § 201, et seq., and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. § 516 et seq., in an amount equal to all unpaid overtime compensation owed to Plaintiff and members of the collective during the applicable statutory period;
- (H) Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq., and the relating regulations; in an amount equal to all unpaid overtime compensation owed to Plaintiff and members of the collective during the applicable statutory period;
- (I) An order directing Defendant to pay Plaintiff and members of the collective pre-judgment interest, reasonable attorney's fees and all costs connected with this action; and
- (J) Such other and further relief as this Court may deem necessary, just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

PLAINTIFF WILLIE HUDSON, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC One Financial Center 650 South Shackleford, Suite 411 Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 Telephone: (501) 221-0088 Facsimile: (888) 787-2040

Daniel Ford

Ark. Bar No. 2014162

daniel@sanfordlawfirm.com

Chris Burks

Ark. Bar No. 2010207 chris@sanfordlawfirm.com

Josh Santo

Ark Bar No. 2001037 josh@sanfordlawfirm.com